Appendix to the curriculum audit instrument



## SURABAYA STATE UNIVERSITY

## **QUALITY ASSURANCE UNIT**





		INTERN	NAL	<b>QUALITY</b>	AUD	OIT			
	Auditee				Standard				
					Curriculum				
Locatio	n	Scope			Audit Date				
Deputy Au	ditee	Auditor Chairman			Auditor Member				
						_			
Distribut	ion	Auditee		Auditor		PPM	Arc	hive	
•				·					

No.	Aspects	Completeness		Conditions		Notes/ recommendations	
	1156000		X	KTS	OB		
		•	•	•	•		
Stand	ard 31.1 and 31.3						
1	Curriculum development/restructuring that						
	takes into account:						
	a. employment needs and						
	competitiveness						
	b. provision of skilled human resources to						
	anticipate current and future needs						
	c. industry development						
	d. development of graduates' ability for						
	entrepreneurship						
	e. the application of dual system learning						
	methods, in industry and in universities						
2	There is evidence that the curriculum has						
	been restructured periodically and						
	continuously based on input from						
	stakeholders, alumni and the community.						
3	There is documented evidence of						
	continuous curriculum review						
4	Tracer study activities that contribute to						
	curriculum restructuring						
Stand	ard 31.2						
5	Available study program identity in the						
	curriculum in accordance with KPT						

No.	Aspects	Completeness		Conditions		Notes/ recommendations	
110.	торесь		X	KTS	OB		
6	OBE-based KKNI curriculum documents						
	are available:						
	a. graduate profile						
	b. study program objectives						
	c. graduate learning outcomes (GLOs) with 4 competencies						
	d. association/KKNI study material according to level						
	e. matrix of linkages between SLOs and MKs						
	f. distribution of MK per semester accompanied by SLOs						
	g. curriculum structure accompanied by a description of MK, prerequisite MK and references						
Standa	ard 31.3	•					
7	Final Ability Indicators (KA) elaborated						
	from SLOs are accessed regularly						
	indicated by activity documents and study						
	program goal analysis relationships						
8	CPMK indicators are assessed according						
	to 3 domains (cognitive, psychomotor, and						
	affective)						
9	There are improvements to SLOs or						
	CPMKs after assessment every year						
Standa	ard 31.6	_					
10	Compulsory courses show the specialty						
1.1	of the study program						
11	Elective courses show contribution to						
12	the development of the graduate profile  Elective course credits taken are at						
12	least 9 credits out of a minimum of 18 credits provided.						
13	Available RPS on courses validated by UPM						

*NB:* The auditor asks for the curriculum starting from what year and the name of the curriculum, as well as participation in professional associations (in accordance with the science of the study program).

Description :v = Available
X = Not available
Kts = Nonconformity
OB = Observation
Surabaya,
1. Auditor: 1 (name and signature)
: 2 (name and signature
2. Auditee: (name and signature)