

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI SURABAYA FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMME OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION

Document Code

| SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Name of Module | | | Code | Module Cluster | | Credits | | Semester | Date of Preparation |
| Contextual Mathematics | | | 8420203018 | Pedagogic Studies | | T = 3 | P = 0 | 4 | November 15th, 2020 |
| Authorization | | | Lesson Plan Creator | | Module Coordinator | | | Head of UPME | |
| | | | 21 1/1W511 J W11, 1/112 W | | Dr. Endah 1 Rahaju,M.P | • | | ati, M.Sc., Ph.D. | |
| Learning Achievement | PLO-PRODI o | charged to | the Court of | | | | | | |
| (CP) | KN-2 | Able to | Able to demonstrate pedagogical knowledge in designing, implementing, and evaluating Mathematics learning. | | | | | | |
| | SK-1 | Able to design, implement and evaluate the learning pr | | | | | | | |
| | COM-1 | | Able to communicate research ideas and results effectively orally and in writing | | | | | | |
| | Course Learni | se Learning Achievement (CLO) | | | | | | | |
| | CLO1 | Reviewing and presenting the theory and principles of assessment results (KN-2, COM-1) | | | luation, meası | irement, a | ssessmer | nt, instrument asse | ssment and |
| | CLO2 | Expands | Expandsan assessment instrument (KN-2, SK-1, COM-1) | | | | | | |
| | CLO3 | Processing, analyzing and interpreting assessment results (KN-2, SK-1, COM-1) | | | | | | | |
| | Final ability of | Final ability of each learning stage (Sub-CLO) | | | | | | | |
| | Sub-CLO1.1 | Reviewing and presenting assessment theory (principles, objectives, functions, types) | | | | | | | |
| | Sub-CLO1.2 | Reviewing and presenting the position of measurement, assessment and evaluation, taxonomy of Bloom's Educational objectives and the basic principles of assessment procedures | | | | | | al objectives and | |
| | Sub-CLO1.3 | Reviewing and presenting technical theories, sorts, forms of tests and non-tests | | | | | | | |
| | Sub-CLO1.4 | Reviewing and presenting class-based assessments | | | | | | | |
| | Sub-CLO1. 5 | Reviewing and presenting the theory of measuring instrument quality | | | | | | | |
| | Sub-CLO2.1 | | indicators of competency achievement and | | | l on basic | competen | ncies | |
| | Sub-CLO2.2 | Develop | assessment instruments (tests) and guideline | es for scor | ing | | | | |

| | Sub-CLO2.3 Develop assessment instruments (non-tests) and their scoring guidelines | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Sub-CLO3.1 Processing and interpreting the assessment results (in the form of tests)manually and utilizing software and how to report them | | | | | | |
| | Sub-CLO3.2 Process and interpret assessment results (non-tests) manually and utilize software and how to report them | | | | | | |
| | Sub-CLO3. 3 Use the assessment results (test and non test) for mathematics learning | | | | | | |
| | Sub-CLO3. 4 Analyze and interpret the quality test results of measuring instruments manually and utilize software | | | | | | |
| Brief Description of | The concepts, objectives, functions and principles of assessment, taxonomy of cognitive learning outcomes, affectives, psychomotors, assessment | | | | | | |
| module | strategies (paper & pencil and alternative assessments), forms of assessment instruments, rubrics, analysis and interpretation of assessment results, class- | | | | | | |
| | based assessments, assessments for science process skills and scientific attitudes (including character) through task-based learning, discussion, and use of | | | | | | |
| | Anates V4 and Iteman <i>software</i> . | | | | | | |
| Study Material: | Theories about assessment, cognitive learning outcomes, affective, psychomotor, assessment strategies, instrument forms of assessment, rubrics, analysis | | | | | | |
| Learning Materials | and interpretation of assessment results, class-based assessments, assessments for science process skills and scientific attitudes (including character) | | | | | | |
| | through task-based learning, discussion, and use of Anates V4 and Iteman <i>software</i> . | | | | | | |
| References | Main: | | | | | | |
| | [1] Kubiszyn, Tom/ I. Borich, Gary. 2007. Educational testing and measurement: classroom application and practice. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons. | | | | | | |
| | Supporters: | | | | | | |
| | [2] Brookhart, Susan M. 2010. How to assess higher-order thinking skills in your classroom. Alexandria: ASCD. | | | | | | |
| | [3] Arikunto, Suharsimi / I. Jabar, Cepi Safruddin Abdul. 2008. Evaluation of educational programs: theoretical guidelines for | | | | | | |
| | students and educational practitioners. Jakarta: BumiAksara. | | | | | | |
| | [4] Kumari, Sarita / I. Srivastava, D.S. 2005. Education: assessment, evaluation and remedial. New Delhi: Isha Books. | | | | | | |
| | [4] Ruman, Santa 7. Sirvastava, D.S. 2005. Education. assessment, evaluation and remediat. New Dehn. Isha Books. [5] Rani, T. Swarupa. 2004. Educational measurement and evaluation. New Delhi: DPH. | | | | | | |
| | [6] Ross, Kenneth N. (ed. 2005. Quantitative research Methods in Education! Planning, Module 6: Overview of Test Construction. | | | | | | |
| | Paris: International Institute for Educational Planning, UNESCO. | | | | | | |
| | [7] Walton, John A. 2005. Educational objectives and achievement testing. New Delhi: Commonwealth. | | | | | | |
| | [8] George, David. 2005. Examination and evaluation in education. New Delhi: Commonwealth. | | | | | | |
| | [9] Arends, Richard I. 2004. Guide to Field Experiences ad Portfolio Development: to accompany; learning to teach. New York: | | | | | | |
| | McGraw-Hill Book Company. | | | | | | |
| | [10] Up, S.P. 2004. <i>Role of evaluation in education</i> . New Delhi: Anmol Publications PVP. | | | | | | |
| | [11] Johnson, David W. and Johnson, Robert T. 2002. Meaningful Assessment Manageable and Cooperative process. Boston: | | | | | | |
| | Allyn and Bacon. | | | | | | |
| | [12] Anderson, L.W. and Krathwohl, D.R. (eds). 2001. A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assesing: A Revision of Bloom's | | | | | | |
| | Taxonomy of Educational Objectives. New York: Longman | | | | | | |
| | Townson, of Educational Cofecution For Fork . Bonginan | | | | | | |
| Lecturers | Dr. Masriyah, M.Pd. | | | | | | |
| | Dr. Endah Budi Rahaju, M.Pd. | | | | | | |
| | Abdul Haris Rosyidi, M.Pd. | | | | | | |
| | Ahmad Wachidul Kohar, M.Pd. | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | THINKS IT WILLIAM TAVING THE WI | | | | | | |

| Courses sy | yarat Basics of Ed | ucation | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Week | Final ability of each stage of learning | Assessment | Assessment | | Learning Aids, Learning Methods, Student Assignment, | | Assessment Weight (%) |
| | | Indicators | Criteria & Shapes | Offline | Online | | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 1 | Sub-CLO1.1 Reviewing and presenting assessment theory (principles, objectives, functions, types) | Analyzing assessment principles Analyzing assessment objectives, types and functions Analyzing the meaning of education and learning assessments Explain the meaning of assessment as learning, assessment for learning, and assessment of learning | Quantitative and Tests | Lectures, Responses, and Presentations using LMS Vinesa Group tasks and presentations 3 x 50 minutes | | [1][3], [4] | |
| 2 | Sub-CLO1.2: Reviewing and presenting the position of measurement, assessment and evaluation, taxonomy of Bloom's Educational objectives and the basic principles of assessment procedures | Analyze measurement position, assessment and evaluation Understand the taxonomy of learning objectives Explain the basic principles of learning outcome assessment procedures | Quantitative and Tests | Lectures, Responses, and Presentations using LMS Vinesa Group tasks and presentations 3 x 50 minutes | | [1][4], [8], [10] | |
| 3-4 | Sub-CLO1.2: | 1. Explain the difference between the old Bloom | Quantitative and Tests | Lectures, Responses, and | | [5], [7] , [12] | |

| Reviewing and presenting the position of measurement, assessment and evaluation, taxonom of Bloom's Educational objectives and the basic principles of assessment procedures Sub-CLO2.1: Me developindicators of competency achievement and learning objectives based on basic competencies | revised taxonomy 2. Build learning indicators 3. Develop learning objectives 4. Explain the components of learning objectives 5. Explaining the clafikasi dimension of knowledge | | Presentations using LMS Vinesa Group tasks and presentations 6 x 50 minutes | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----|
| 5-7 p.m. Mengkaji and present the theory of engineering, sorts, forms of tests and non-tests Sub-CLO2.2: Develop assessment instruments (tests) and guidelines for scoring Sub-CLO3.1: Processing and interpreting the assessment results (in the form of tests)manually and utilizing software and how to report them | Disadvantages of the test 2. Explain Techniques, Types and forms of tests 3. Arrange scoring rubrics 4. Explain rubrik test assessment, scoring, conversion of score into value 5. Interpret learning outcomes 6. Process test results 7. Explain the reporting of test | Quantitative and Tests | Lectures, Responses, and Presentations using LMS Vinesa Group tasks and presentations 9 x 50 minutes | [1], [3], [5], [8] | |
| 8 Midterm Evaluation / | Semester Tengan Exam | | | | 20% |

| 9 | Sub-CLO1. 3 Mengkaji and present the theory of engineering, sorts, forms of tests and non-tests Sub-CLO2. 3: Develop assessment instruments (non-tests) and their scoring guidelines Sub-CLO3. 2: Processand interpret assessment results (non-tests) manually and utilize software and how to report them | Explain the non-test understanding of questionnaires, observations, , and interviews and attitude scales) Explain theRulespe nyusunan non test questionnaires, observations, , and interviews and attitude scales) Develop questionnaires, positives and negatives, observation guidelines, and interviews and attitude scales) Process non-test results of questionnaires, observations, , and interviews and attitude scales) Explain reporting of non- | Quantitative and Tests | Lectures, Responses, and Presentations using LMS Vinesa Asynchronus or Synchronus Group tasks and presentations 3 x 50 minutes | [1], [6], [8], [9] | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 10 | Sub-CLO2.2: Develop assessment instruments (tests)and scoring guidelines. Sub-CLO3.1: Processing and interpreting the assessment results (in the form of tests)manually and utilizing software and how to report them. Sub-CLO2. 3: Develop assessment instruments (non-tests) and scoring guidelines. | test results 1. Describe techniques in skill assessment (practices, products, projects, portfolios, and other techniques) 2. Develop practical assessment instruments, products, projects, portfolios 3. Explain the reporting of skill assessment results | Quantitative and Tests | Lectures, Responses, and Presentations using LMS Vinesa Asynchronus or Synchronus Group tasks and presentations 3 x 50 minutes | [1], [2], [3]. [12] | |

| | Sub-CLO3. 2: Processand interpret assessment results (non- tests) manuallyandutilize software and how to report them. | | | | | |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--|
| 11 | Sub-CLO1.4: Reviewing and presenting class-based assessments Sub-CLO2.2: Develop assessment instruments (tests) and guidelines for scoring Sub-CLO3.3: Utilization of processing assessment results (tests and non-tests) | Explain the meaning of Classroom based assessment Explaining the various techniques of Classroom based assessment Develop Classroom based assessment and its scoring rubric based on certain competency frameworks (e.g. problem solving, reasoning, or critical/creative thinking) Determine learning completedness criteria Utilizing the analysis of learning outcomes (remidi or enrichment) | Quantitative and Tests | Lectures, Responses, and Presentations using LMS Vinesa Asynchronus or Synchronus Group tasks and presentations 3 x 50 minutes | [4], [8] | |
| 12 - 13 | Sub-CLO1. 5: Reviewing and presenting the theory of measuring instrument quality | Explain validity and reliability Explain the factors that affect validity Explain the factors that affect reliability Explain the various validities of a test device Describe the different methods to determine the reliability of a test | Quantitative and Tests | Lectures, Responses, and Presentations using LMS Vinesa Asynchronus or Synchronus Tasks Individu and presentations | [3], [4] | |

| | | 6. Calculate the reliability of the test based on norms and benchmarks | | 6 x 50 minutes | | |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----|
| 14 - 15 | Sub-CLO3. 4: Analyze and interpret the quality test results of measuring instruments manually and utilize software | 1. Analyze the problem items, including: the level of achievement of indicators of points of reference criteria, index sensitivity of points about reference criteria, difficulty of test items, differentiating power, effectiveness of options, validity of points about norm reference 2. Practice analysis of test items and determination of test reliability with computer programs | Quantitative and Tests | Lectures, Responses, and practices using VINESA LMS and Anates V4 software and iteman Asynchronus or Synchronus The practice of analyzing the quality of measuring instruments | [3], [4] Software Anates V4, Iteman | |
| 16 | Final Evaluation of Semeste | er / Final Semester Examination | | | | 30% |

Description:

- 1. Assessment Weight: 30% Duty and 20% Participation
- 2. Synchronus at least 6 times
- 3. CLO-1 and CLO-2 are measured ateachfind that requires students to complete anassignment or quiz

Surabaya, 17th November 2020

Head of Mathematics Education Program,

Lesson Plan Creator,

Rooselyna Ekawati, M.Sc., Ph.D. NIP 198210152005012002NIP

Endah Budi Rahaju,Dr., M.Pd. 196404251988122001